A TWO-STEP FIRST-DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR FOR A PANEL DATA TOBIT MODEL

Adriaan S. Kalwij

Department of Economics, Tilburg University

Working Paper 2003-21

February 2004

Information for library

Adriaan S. Kalwij (2003), Department of Economics, Tilburg University, PO Box 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands. (Email: kalwij@uvt.nl)

Working Papers Series, Amsterdam Institute for Advanced Labour Studies, paper 2003-20. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam

Keywords: Two-Step Estimator, Panel data, Tobit model

JEL-classification: C12, C23, C24

© All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the auteur.

© Adriaan S. Kalwij, Amsterdam, December, 2003

This paper can be downloaded:

www.uva-aias.net/files/working_papers/WP21.pdf

A TWO-STEP FIRST-DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR FOR A PANEL DATA TOBIT MODEL

ABSTRACT

This study formulates an easy-to-use two-step first-difference estimator for a panel data Tobit model. In the first step a bivariate Probit is estimated, using all observations. These estimates are used to construct correction terms that are added to the first-difference equation. This equation is estimated by Least Squares on a sub-sample of observations for which the dependent variable is positive in both periods. Most of this study is concerned with the derivation of the corrections terms.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I	INTRODU	JCTION	
2		MENT GENERATING FUNCTION (MGF) OF THE DIFFERENCE OF TWO ED NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES	3
3	A Two	STEP FIRST-DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR A PANEL DATA TOBIT MODEL	5
Refi	ERENCES _		9
Арр	ENDIX A:	MOMENT GENERATING FUNCTION	11
Арр	ENDIX B :		13

I Introduction

Kalwij (2003) develops a Maximum Likelihood estimator based on taking first-differences of the equation of interest for a panel data Tobit model with unit-specific effects that are allowed to correlate with explanatory variables in pre-specified way. This latter aspect of the model is often referred to as the Conditional Mean Independence assumption (Wooldridge, 1995). The advantage of Kalwij's approach is that it yields parameter estimates that are less sensitive to a specific parameterisation of the individual specific effects than when using a standard panel data Tobit estimator. The disadvantage of his estimator is that it is difficult to use in practice since it demands programming of the likelihood contributions, which are non-standard. For this reason the main objective of this study is to construct an easy-to-use two-step estimator for a panel data Tobit model based on first-differences. For this purpose section 2 derives the Moment Generating Function (MGF) of a random variable that is defined as the difference of two censored normal random variables. Based on this result, section 3 formulates the two-step first-difference estimator for a panel data Tobit model.

AIAS-UvA I

Honoré (2002) provides an excellent survey on the estimation of nonlinear models with panel data and Lee (2001) provides a discussion of the advantages of first-differences estimators in panel data selection models.

2 THE MOMENT GENERATING FUNCTION (MGF) OF THE DIFFERENCE OF TWO CENSORED NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES

X and Y are two jointly distributed normal random variables:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{pmatrix} \mu_X \\ \mu_Y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_X^2 & \rho \sigma_X \sigma_Y \\ \rho \sigma_X \sigma_Y & \sigma_Y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (I)

The density function of (X,Y) is the bivariate normal density function and is denoted by $f_{XY}(x,y)$. In the remainder of this study $\phi(.)$ denotes the standard normal density function, $\Phi(.)$ the standard normal distribution function and $\Phi^2(.,.;\rho)$ the bivariate standard normal distribution function with a correlation coefficient ρ ($\equiv \rho_{XY}$, subscript XY is dropped).

X is censored for values below a and Y is censored for values below b. The density function of the random variable Z = X - Y conditional on X>a and Y>b is given by (see Kalwij, 2003):

$$f_{Z|X>a,Y>b}(z \mid X>a,Y>b) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_Z} \phi \left(\frac{z-\mu_Z}{\sigma_Z}\right) \Phi \left(-\frac{\left(\max\{a,b+z\}-\mu_{X|Z}\right)}{\sigma_{X|Z}}\right)}{\Phi^2 \left(\left(\frac{-a-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right), \left(\frac{-b-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right); \rho\right)},$$
(2)

where

$$\mu_Z = \mu_X - \mu_Y,$$
 $\sigma_Z^2 = \sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2 - 2\rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y,$
 $\rho_{XZ} = \frac{\sigma_X - \rho\sigma_Y}{\sigma_Z},$

$$\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle X|Z} = \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle X} + \rho_{\scriptscriptstyle XZ} \frac{\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle X}}{\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle Z}} (z - \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle Z}) \text{, and } \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle X|Z}^2 = \left(1 - \rho_{\scriptscriptstyle XZ}^2\right) \!\! \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle X}^2 \,.$$

The Moment Generating Function of the random variable Z = X - Y conditional on X>a and Y>b is given by (see Appendix A):

$$e^{i\mu_{Z}+\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{Z}^{2}t^{2}} \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(s)\Phi\left(-\left(\frac{\max\{a,\left(b+\mu_{Z}+\sigma_{Z}\left(s+t\sigma_{Z}\right)\right)\}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}\sqrt{1-\rho_{XZ}^{2}}}-\frac{\rho_{XZ}\left(s+t\sigma_{Z}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\rho_{XZ}^{2}}}\right)\right)\widehat{o}s}{\sigma_{Z}\left(\left(\frac{-a-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right),\left(\frac{-b-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right);\rho\right)}.$$
 (3)

The expectation of Z = X - Y conditional on X>a and Y>b is obtained by taking the derivate of the MGF of equation (3) with respect to t and evaluating this function in t=0. This yields (see Appendix B):

$$\mu_{Z|X>a,Y>b} = \left[\Phi_2\left(\left(\frac{-a-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right), \left(\frac{-b-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right); \rho\right)\right]^{-1} \times \left[\mu_Z \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{a-b-\mu_Z}{\sigma_Z}} \phi(s) \Phi\left(-\frac{\frac{a-\mu_X}{\sigma_X} - \rho_{XZ}s}{\sqrt{1-\rho_{XZ}^2}}\right) \partial s\right]$$

+
$$\mu_{Z} \int_{\frac{a-b-\mu_{Z}}{\sigma_{Z}}}^{+\infty} \phi(s) \Phi \left(-\frac{\frac{b-\mu_{Y}+\sigma_{Z}s}{\sigma_{X}}-\rho_{XZ}s}{\sqrt{1-\rho_{XZ}^{2}}} \right) \partial s$$

+
$$\left(\sigma_{X} - \rho\sigma_{Y}\right)\phi\left(\frac{a - \mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)\Phi\left(\frac{\rho\left(\frac{a - \mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right) - \left(\frac{b - \mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^{2}}}\right)$$

+
$$\left(\rho\sigma_{X}-\sigma_{Y}\right)\phi\left(\frac{b-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)\Phi\left(\frac{\rho\left(\frac{b-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)-\left(\frac{a-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)$$
 (4)

3 A TWO STEP FIRST-DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR A PANEL DATA TOBIT MODEL

The model of interest is formulated as follows, assuming two time periods and N individuals:

$$y_{it}^{*} = x_{it}\beta + \alpha_{i} + \varepsilon_{it},$$

$$y_{it} = \max(0, y_{it}^{*}) \qquad t = \{1, 2\}, i = \{1, ..., N\}.$$
(5)

This is a panel data Tobit model and is a straightforward extension of the standard Tobit model (Tobin, 1958) by including an additional dimension (time or individuals). The individual is indexed by i and the time period by t. x_{it} is a (1xK) vector of exogenous variables, β is a (KxI) vector of the parameters of interest and α_i is an unobserved individual specific effect that may be correlated with x_{it} . The latent dependent variable is censored at zero and only y_{it} is observed. The error term ε_{it} is assumed to be a normal random variable with mean zero and variance σ_t^2 , $\varepsilon_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma_t^2)$, and is allowed to be serially correlated.

Taking the first difference of equation (5) to eliminate the individual specific effects from the main equation yields the following model:

$$\Delta y_i^* = \Delta x_i \beta + \eta_i \tag{6}$$

$$\Delta y_{i} = \begin{cases} \Delta y_{i}^{*} & \text{if} \quad y_{i1}^{*} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{i2}^{*} > 0\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad y_{i1}^{*} \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{i2}^{*} \leq 0\\ y_{i2}^{*} & \text{if} \quad y_{i1}^{*} \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{i2}^{*} > 0\\ -y_{i1}^{*} & \text{if} \quad y_{i1}^{*} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{i2}^{*} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(7)$$

Where $\Delta y_i^* = y_{i2}^* - y_{i1}^*$, $\Delta x_i = x_{i2} - x_{i1}$ and $\eta_i = \varepsilon_{i2} - \varepsilon_{i1}$. The correlation coefficient of ε_{i1} and ε_{i2} is denoted by ρ . Given the distributional assumptions: $\eta_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\eta^2)$ with $\sigma_\eta^2 = \sigma_1^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \sigma_2^2$. One can obtain Maximum Likelihood Estimates of the parameters of interest using the density function as formulated in equation (2) (see Kalwij, 2003). An alternative is to use a two-step estimator in the spirit of Rochina-Barrachina (1999) who develops a two-step estimator for panel data selection models. For the special case of a panel data Tobit model this two-step procedure is outlined underneath.

Considering the model given by equation (6) and the nonzero observations on the dependent variable in both periods, the expectation of Δy_i conditional on $y_{i1} > 0$ and $y_{i2} > 0$ is

$$E\left[\Delta y_i \mid y_{i1} > 0, y_{i2} > 0\right] = \Delta x_i \beta + E\left[\eta_i \mid \varepsilon_{i1} > -x_{i1}\beta - \alpha_i, \varepsilon_{i2} > -x_{i2}\beta - \alpha_i\right]. \tag{8}$$

Using equation (3) of Section 2 the expectation at the RHS of equation (8) is written as follows:

$$E[\eta_{i} \mid \varepsilon_{i1} > -x_{i1}\beta - \alpha_{i}, \varepsilon_{i2} > -x_{i2}\beta - \alpha_{i}] = \pi_{2}\Lambda_{2}(M_{i1}, M_{i2}, \rho) - \pi_{1}\Lambda_{1}(M_{i1}, M_{i2}, \rho)$$
 (9)

With

$$\pi_1 = (\sigma_1 - \rho \sigma_2) \tag{10}$$

$$\pi_2 = (\sigma_2 - \rho \sigma_1) \tag{11}$$

$$M_{i1} = (x_{i1}\beta + \alpha_i)/\sigma_1 \tag{12}$$

$$M_{i2} = (x_{i2}\beta + \alpha_i)/\sigma_2 \tag{13}$$

$$\Lambda_{1}(M_{i1}, M_{i2}, \rho) = \frac{\phi(M_{i1})\Phi((M_{i2} - \rho M_{i1})/\sqrt{1 - \rho^{2}})}{\Phi^{2}(M_{i1}, M_{i2}; \rho)}$$
(14)

$$\Lambda_{2}(M_{i1}, M_{i2}, \rho) = \frac{\phi(M_{i2})\Phi((M_{i1} - \rho M_{i2})/\sqrt{1 - \rho^{2}})}{\Phi^{2}(M_{i1}, M_{i2}; \rho)}$$
(15)

The standard normal distribution function is denoted by $\Phi(.)$, the standard normal density function by $\phi(.)$ and the bivariate standard normal distribution function is denoted by $\Phi^2(.)$. The correction terms are now added and subtracted from equation (6):

$$\Delta y_i = \Delta X_i \beta - \pi_2 \Lambda_2 (M_{i1}, M_{i2}, \rho) + \pi_1 \Lambda_1 (M_{i1}, M_{i2}, \rho) + \xi_i.$$
 (16)

with

$$\xi_i = \pi_2 \Lambda_2 (M_{i1}, M_{i2}, \rho) - \pi_1 \Lambda_1 (M_{i1}, M_{i2}, \rho) + \eta_i$$

 ξ_i is an error term with expectation zero (conditional on $y_{i1} > 0$ and $y_{i2} > 0$). If the correction terms are known then equation (16) can be estimated using least squares on the sample of individuals for whom the dependent variable is positive in both periods. As can be seen from eqs (10), (11) and (16), similar to the cross-sectional case, the proposed two-step estimator for a panel data Tobit model based on first-differences is a special case of the two-step first-difference estimator for panel data selection models as formulated in Rochina-Barrachina (1999).

The two-step estimation procedure is implemented as follows. In the first step we estimate the following bivariate Probit model:

$$y_{i1}^* = x_{i1}\beta + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{i1}, \tag{17}$$

$$y_{i2}^* = x_{i2}\beta + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{i2}, \tag{18}$$

$$I_{i1} = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad y_{i1}^* \le 0 \\ 1 & if \quad y_{i1}^* > 0 \end{cases}$$
 (20)

$$I_{i2} = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad y_{i2}^* \le 0 \\ 1 & if \quad y_{i2}^* > 0 \end{cases}$$
 (21)

We use the Mean Conditional Independence assumption of Wooldridge (1995) to deal with the unobserved individual specific effect α_i . For this study it is only relevant that this approach essentially models the unobserved individual specific effect as a pre-specified function of observed characteristics and a random individual specific error term, say $\alpha_i = h(x_{i1}, x_{i2})\gamma + \mu_i$, with $\mu_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\mu^2)$. The parameter γ is an additional parameter of the model to be estimated. A popular choice for $h(x_{i1}, x_{i2})$ is to take the average over time. The new residuals in equations (17) and (18) are $u_{i1} = \mu_i + \varepsilon_{i1}$ and $u_{i2} = \mu_i + \varepsilon_{i2}$ with variances $\sigma_{u,1}^2 = \sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_1^2$ and $\sigma_{u,2}^2 = \sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_2^2$, respectively. The correlation between u_{i1} and u_{i2} is denoted by ρ_{12} . Using Maximum Likelihood for estimating the bivariate Probit model yields estimates for $\beta_1 = \frac{\beta}{\sigma_{u,1}}, \gamma_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\sigma_{u,1}}, \beta_2 = \frac{\beta}{\sigma_{u,2}}, \gamma_2 = \frac{\gamma}{\sigma_{u,2}}$ and ρ_{12} .

In the second step we construct the correction terms using $\hat{M}_{i1}=x_{i1}\hat{\beta}_1+h(x_1,x_2)\hat{\gamma}_1$, $\hat{M}_{i2}=x_{i2}\hat{\beta}_2+h(x_1,x_2)\hat{\gamma}_2$ and $\hat{\rho}_{12}$. Next, the correction terms are substituted in equation (16):

$$\Delta y_{i} = \Delta X_{i} \beta - \pi_{2}^{*} \Lambda_{2} (\hat{M}_{i1}, \hat{M}_{i2}, \hat{\rho}_{12}) + \pi_{1}^{*} \Lambda_{1} (\hat{M}_{i1}, \hat{M}_{i2}, \hat{\rho}_{12}) + \xi_{i}$$
(22)

This equation is estimated using Least Squares on a sample of individuals for which the dependent variable is positive in both periods ($y_{i1} > 0$ and $y_{i2} > 0$). The auxiliary parameters π_1^* and π_2^* are defined as $\pi_1^* = (\sigma_{u,1} - \rho_{12}\sigma_{u,2})$ and $\pi_2^* = (\sigma_{u,2} - \rho_{12}\sigma_{u,1})$.

REFERENCES

- Honoré, B.E., 2002, Nonlinear models with panel data, Portuguese Economic Journal, 1 (2), pp. 163-179.
- Kalwij, A.S., 2003, A Maximum Likelihood estimator based on first differences for a panel data Tobit model with individual specific effects, Economics Letters, forthcoming.
- Lee, M.J., 2001, First-Difference Estimator for Panel Censored-Selection Models, Economics Letters, 70, pp. 43-49.
- Tobin, J., 1958, Estimation of relationships for limited dependent variables, Econometrica, 26, pp. 24-
- Rochina-Barrachina, M.E., 1999, A new estimator for panel data sample selection models, Annales d'Économie et de Statistique, 55/56, pp. 153-181.
- Wooldridge, J.M., 1995, Selection corrections for panel data models under conditional mean independence assumptions, Journal of Econometrics, 68, pp. 115-132.

APPENDIX A: MOMENT GENERATING FUNCTION

The moment generating function of the random variable Z given X>a and Y>b is defined as:

$$m(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{tz} \frac{\int_{\max\{a,b+z\}}^{\infty} f_{XZ}(x,z) \partial x}{\int_{a}^{\infty} \int_{b}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x,y) \partial y \partial x}$$
(A1)

$$m(t) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{tz} \left[\int_{\max\{a,b+z\}}^{\infty} f_{XZ}(x,z) \partial x \right] \partial z}{\int_{a}^{\infty} \int_{b}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x,y) \partial y \partial x}$$
(A2)

The denominator of equation (8) can be written as:

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} \int_{b}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x, y) \partial y \partial x = \Phi_{2}\left(\frac{-(a - \mu_{X})}{\sigma_{X}}, \frac{-(b - \mu_{Y})}{\sigma_{Y}}, \rho\right)$$

Where $\Phi_2(.)$ denotes the bivariate standard normal distribution function. The numerator of equation (A2) can be written as:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\max\{a,b+z\}}^{\infty} e^{tz} f_{XZ}(x,z) \partial x \, dz \tag{A3}$$

To simplify the integral we substitute

$$u = \frac{x - \mu_X}{\sigma_X}$$
 and $v = \frac{z - \mu_Z}{\sigma_Z}$. (A4)

Furthermore, to adjust the borders of integration we define:

$$c_1(v) = \frac{a - \mu_X}{\sigma_X} \text{ and } c_2(v) = \frac{b + (\mu_Z + \sigma_Z v) - \mu_X}{\sigma_X}$$
 (A5)

Now (A3) becomes:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_{\max\{c_1(v),c_2(v)\}}^{\infty} e^{t(\mu_Z + \sigma_Z v)} \phi_2(u,v;\rho_{XZ}) \partial u \right] \partial v,$$
(A6)

where $\phi_2(.,.,:)$ denoted the bivariate standard normal distribution. Hence, equation (A6) is rewritten as follows:

AIAS-UvA II

$$e^{t\mu_{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_{\max\{c_{1}(v),c_{2}(v)\}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\rho_{XZ}^{2}}} \times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho_{XZ}^{2})} \left[u^{2}-2\rho_{XZ}uv+v^{2}-2(1-\rho_{XZ}^{2})t\sigma_{Z}v\right]\right\} \partial u \right] \partial v \tag{A7}$$

To simplify this integral we substitute

$$w = \frac{u - \rho_{XZ} v}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{XZ}^2}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad s = v - t\sigma_Z.$$
 (A8)

and the new borders of integration become

$$c_{3}(s,t) = \frac{\frac{a - \mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}} - \rho_{XZ}(s + t\sigma_{Z})}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{XZ}^{2}}}$$

and

$$c_{4}(s,t) = \frac{\frac{b + (\mu_{Z} + \sigma_{Z}(s + t\sigma_{Z})) - \mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}} - \rho_{XZ}(s + t\sigma_{Z})}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{XZ}^{2}}}.$$

(A9)

Now (A6) becomes:

$$e^{t\mu_Z + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_Z^2 t^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(s) \left[\int_{\max\{c_3(s,t),c_4(s,t)\}}^{\infty} \phi(w) \partial w \right] \partial s$$
(A10)

APPENDIX B:

In this appendix I concentrate on the numerator $(m_1(t))$ of the mgf.

Let
$$m_1(t)$$

$$e^{t\mu_Z + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_Z^2 t^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(s) \left[\int_{\max\{c_3(s,t),c_4(s,t)\}}^{\infty} \phi(w) \partial w \right] \partial s.$$

For the expectation I need the derivative of $m_1(t)$ with respect to t and evaluated in t=0.

$$\frac{\partial m_1(t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mu_Z + \sigma_Z^2 t\right) e^{t\mu_Z + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_Z^2 t^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\int_{\max\{c_3(s,t),c_4(s,t)\}}^{\infty} \phi_2(w,s;0) \partial w \right] \partial s$$

$$+ e^{t\mu_{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{Z}^{2}t^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[-\frac{\partial \max\left\{c_{3}(s,t), c_{4}(s,t)\right\}}{\partial t} \times \phi_{2}\left(\max\left\{c_{3}(s,t), c_{4}(s,t)\right\}, s; 0\right) \right] ds \qquad \text{(BI)}$$

Define:
$$c(t) = \frac{a - b - \mu_Z - t\sigma_Z^2}{\sigma_Z}$$
(B3)

$$\frac{\partial m_1(t)}{\partial t} =$$

$$\left(\mu_{Z} + \sigma_{Z}^{2} t\right) e^{t\mu_{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{Z}^{2} t^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{c(t)} \int_{c_{3}(s,t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[w^{2} + s^{2}\right]\right\} \partial w \right] \partial s$$

$$+ \left(\mu_{Z} + \sigma_{Z}^{2} t\right) e^{t\mu_{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{Z}^{2} t^{2}} \int_{c(t)}^{+\infty} \left[\int_{c_{4}(s,t)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \times \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[w^{2} + s^{2} \right] \right\} \partial w \right] \partial s$$

$$+e^{t\mu_{\mathbf{Z}}+\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\mathbf{Z}}^{2}t^{2}}\int_{-\infty}^{c(t)}\left[-\frac{\partial c_{3}(s,t)}{\partial t}\frac{1}{2\pi}\times\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(c_{3}(s,t)\right)^{2}+s^{2}\right]\right\}\right]\partial s$$

$$+e^{t\mu_{Z}+\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{Z}^{2}t^{2}}\int_{c(t)}^{+\infty}\left[-\frac{\partial c_{4}(s,t)}{\partial t}\frac{1}{2\pi}\times\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(c_{4}(s,t)\right)^{2}+s^{2}\right]\right\}\right]\partial s\tag{B4}$$

with

$$\frac{\partial c_3(s,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{-\rho_{XZ}\sigma_Z}{\sqrt{1-\rho_{XZ}^2}}, \text{ and } \frac{\partial c_4(s,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\frac{\sigma_Z^2}{\sigma_X} - \rho_{XZ}\sigma_Z}{\sqrt{1-\rho_{XZ}^2}}$$

$$(c_3(s,t))^2 + s^2 \Big|_{t=0} = \left(\frac{s - \frac{a - \mu_X}{\sigma_X} \rho_{XZ}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{XZ}^2}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{a - \mu_X}{\sigma_X} \right)^2$$

$$\left(c_4(s,t)\right)^2 + s^2\Big|_{t=0} = \quad \left(\frac{s - \frac{b - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \times \frac{\rho_{XZ}\sigma_X - \sigma_Z}{\sigma_Y}}{\frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}\sqrt{1 - \rho_{XZ}^2}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{b - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)^2$$

Evaluated at t=0:

$$\left. \frac{\partial m_1(t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = \left(\mu_Z\right) \int_{-\infty}^{c(0)} \phi(s) \Phi(-c_3(s,0)) \partial s + \left(\mu_Z\right) \int_{c(0)}^{+\infty} \phi(s) \Phi(-c_4(s,0)) \partial s$$

$$+ \rho_{XZ} \sigma_Z \phi \left(\frac{a - \mu_X}{\sigma_X} \right) \Phi \left(\frac{c(0) - \frac{a - \mu_X}{\sigma_X} \rho_{XY}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{XZ}^2}} \right)$$

$$+\frac{\sigma_{X}}{\sigma_{Y}}\left(\rho_{XZ}\sigma_{Z}-\frac{\sigma_{Z}^{2}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)\phi\left(\frac{b-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)\Phi\left(-\frac{c(0)-\frac{b+\mu_{Z}-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{Y}}\times\frac{\rho_{XZ}\sigma_{X}-\sigma_{Z}}{\sigma_{Y}}}{\frac{\sigma_{X}}{\sigma_{Y}}\sqrt{1-\rho_{XZ}^{2}}}\right)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial m_1(t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = \left(\mu_Z\right) \int_{-\infty}^{c(0)} \phi(s) \Phi(-c_3(s,0)) \partial s + \left(\mu_Z\right) \int_{c(0)}^{+\infty} \phi(s) \Phi(-c_4(s,0)) \partial s$$

$$+(\sigma_{X}-\rho\sigma_{Y})\phi\left(\frac{a-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)\Phi\left(\frac{\rho\left(\frac{a-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)-\left(\frac{b-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)$$

$$+(\rho\sigma_{X}-\sigma_{Y})\phi\left(\frac{b-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)\Phi\left(\frac{\rho\left(\frac{b-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)-\left(\frac{a-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}\right).$$

Recent publications of the Amsterdam Institute for Advanced Labour Studies

WORKING PAPERS

03-25	"Wage Indicator" – Dataset Loonwijzer Januari 2004 dr Kea Tijdens
03-24	"Codeboek DUCADAM Dataset" December 2003 Drs Kilian Schreuder & dr Kea Tijdens
03-23	"Household Consumption and Savings Around the Time of Births and the Role of Education" December 2003 Adriaan S. Kalwij
03-22	"A panel data analysis of the effects of wages, standard hours and unionisation on paid overtime work in Britain" October 2003 Adriaan S. Kalwij
03-21	"A Two-Step First-Difference Estimator for a Panel Data Tobit Model" December 2003 Adriaan S. Kalwij
03-20	"Individuals' Unemployment Durations over the Business Cycle" June 2003 dr Adriaan Kalwei
03-19	Een onderzoek naar CAO-afspraken op basis van de FNV cao-databank en de AWVN-database" December 2003 dr Kea Tijdens & Maarten van Klaveren
03-18	"Permanent and Transitory Wage Inequality of British Men, 1975-2001: Year, Age and Cohort Effects" October 2003 dr Adriaan S. Kalwij & Rob Alessie
03-17	"Working Women's Choices for Domestic Help" October 2003 dr Kea Tijdens, Tanja van der Lippe & Esther de Ruijter
03-16	"De invloed van de Wet arbeid en zorg op verlofregelingen in CAO's" October 2003 Marieke van Essen
03-15	"Flexibility and Social Protection" August 2003 dr Ton Wilthagen
03-14	"Top Incomes in the Netherlands and The United Kingdom over the Twentieth Century" September 2003 Sir dr A.B.Atkinson and dr. W. Salverda
03-13	"Tax Evasion in Albania: an Institutional Vacuum" April 2003 dr Klarita Gërxhani
03-12	"Politico-Economic Institutions and the Informal Sector in Albania" May 2003 dr Klarita Gërxhani
03-11	"Tax Evasion and the Source of Income: An experimental study in Albania and the Netherlands" May 2003 dr Klarita Gërxhani
03-10	"Chances and limitations of "benchmarking" in the reform of welfare state structures - the case of pension policy" May 2003 dr Martin Schludi
03-09	"Dealing with the "flexibility-security-nexus: Institutions, strategies, opportunities and barriers" May 2003 prof. Ton Wilthagen en dr. Frank Tros
03-08	"Tax Evasion in Transition: Outcome of an Institutional Clash -Testing Feige's Conjecture" March 2003 dr Klarita Gërxhani
03-07	"Teleworking Policies of Organisations- The Dutch Experiencee" February 2003 dr Kea Tijdens en Maarten van Klaveren
03-06	"Flexible Work- Arrangements and the Quality of Life" February 2003 drs Cees Nierop

- 01-05 Employer's and employees' preferences for working time reduction and working time differentiation A study of the 36 hours working week in the Dutch banking industry" 2001 dr Kea Tijdens
- 01-04 "Pattern Persistence in Europan Trade Union Density"
 October 2001 prof. dr Danielle Checchi, prof. dr Jelle Visser
- 01-03 "Negotiated flexibility in working time and labour market transitions The case of the Netherlands" 2001 prof. dr Jelle Visser
- "Substitution or Segregation: Explaining the Gender Composition in Dutch Manufacturing Industry 1899 1998"
 June 2001 Maarten van Klaveren STZ Advies en Onderzoek , Eindhoven, dr Kea Tijdens
- 00-01 "The first part-time economy in the world. Does it work?" June 2000 prof. dr Jelle Visser

RESEARCH REPORTS

- 02-17 "Industrial Relations in the Transport Sector in the Netherlands"

 December 2002 dr Marc van der Meer & drs Hester Benedictus
- 03-16 "Public Sector Industrial Relations in the Netherlands: framework, principles, players and Representativity"
 January 2003 drs Chris Moll, dr Marc van der Meer & prof.dr Jelle Visser
- 02-15 "Employees' Preferences for more or fewer Working Hours: The Effects of Usual, Contractual and Standard Working Time, Family Phase and Household Characteristics and Job Satisfaction"

 December 2002 dr Kea Tijdens
- 02-13 "Ethnic and Gender Wage Differentials An exploration of LOONWIJZERS 2001/2002" October 2002 dr Aslan Zorlu
- 02-12 "Emancipatie-effectrapportage belastingen en premies een verkenning naar nieuwe mogelijkheden vanuit het belastingstelsel 2001"

 August 2002 dr Kea Tijdens, dr Hettie A. Pott-Buter
- 02-11 "Competenties van Werknemers in de Informatiemaatschappij Een survey over ICT-gebruik" June 2002 dr Kea Tijdens & Bram Steijn
- 02-10 "Loonwijzers 2001/2002. Werk, Ionen en beroepen van mannen en vrouwen in Nederland" June 2002 Kea Tijdens, Anna Dragstra, Dirk Dragstra, Maarten van Klaveren, Paulien Osse, Cecile Wetzels, Aslan Zorlu
- 01-09 "Beloningsvergelijking tussen markt en publieke sector: methodische kantekeningen" November 2001 Wiemer Salverda, Cees Nierop en Peter Mühlau
- 01-08 "Werken in de Digitale Delta. Een vragenbank voor ICT-gebruik in organisaties" June 2001 dr Kea Tijdens
- 01-07 "De vrouwenloonwijzer. Werk, lonen en beroepen van vrouwen."
 June 2001 dr Kea Tijdens
- 00-06 "Wie kan en wie wil telewerken?" Een onderzoek naar de factoren die de mogelijkheid tot en de behoefte aan telewerken van werknemers beïnvloeden."
 November 2000 dr Kea Tijdens, dr Cecile Wetzels en Maarten van Klaveren
- 00-05 "Flexibele regels: Een onderzoek naar de relatie tussen CAO-afspraken en het bedrijfsbeleid over flexibilisering van de arbeid."
 Juni 2000 dr Kea Tijdens & dr Marc van der Meer
- 00-04 "Vraag en aanbod van huishoudelijke diensten in Nederland" June 2000 dr Kea Tijdens
- 00-03 "Keuzemogelijkheden in CAO's"

 June 2000 Caroline van den Brekel en Kea Tijdens
- 00-02 "The toelating van vluchtelingen in Nederland en hun integratie op de arbeidsmarkt." Juni 2000 Marloes Mattheijer
- 00-01 "The trade-off between competitiveness and employment in collective bargaining: the national consultation process and four cases of enterprise bargaining in the Netherlands" Juni 2000 Marc van der Meer (ed), Adriaan van Liempt, Kea Tijdens, Martijn van Velzen, Jelle Visser.

AIAS

AIAS is a young interdisciplinary institute, established in 1998, aiming to become the leading expert centre in

the Netherlands for research on industrial relations, organisation of work, wage formation and labour market

inequalities.

As a network organisation, AIAS brings together high-level expertise at the University of Amsterdam from five

disciplines:

Law

Economics

Sociology

Psychology

Health and safety studies

AIAS provides both teaching and research. On the teaching side it offers a Masters in Advanced Labour

Studies/Human Resources and special courses in co-operation with other organizations such as the National

Trade Union Museum and the Netherlands Institute of International Relations 'Clingendael'. The teaching is in

Dutch but AIAS is currently developing a MPhil in Organisation and Management Studies and a European

Scientific Master programme in Labour Studies in co-operation with sister institutes from other countries.

AIAS has an extensive research program (2000-2004) building on the research performed by its member

scholars. Current research themes effectively include:

The impact of the Euro on wage formation, social policy and industrial relations

Transitional labour markets and the flexibility and security trade-off in social and labour market

regulation

• The prospects and policies of 'overcoming marginalisation' in employment

The cycles of policy learning and mimicking in labour market reforms in Europe

Female agency and collective bargaining outcomes

The projects of the **LoWER** network.

AMSTERDAMS INSTITUUT VOOR ARBEIDSSTUDIES

Universiteit van Amsterdam

Plantage Muidergracht 4 1018 TV Amsterdam the Netherlands

tel +31 20 525 4199 fax +31 20 525 4301

aias@uva.nl

www.uva-aias.net